

Working Paper Series
ISSN 1177-777X

**Linear-Time Graph Triples Census Algorithm
Under Assumptions Typical of Social Networks**

Daniel McEnnis

Working Paper: 06/2009
August 20, 2009

©Daniel McEnnis
Department of Computer Science
The University of Waikato
Private Bag 3105
Hamilton, New Zealand

Linear-Time Graph Triples Census Algorithm Under Assumptions Typical of Social Networks

Daniel McEnnis
University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand
dm75@waikato.ac.nz

1. Introduction

A graph triples census is a histogram of all possible sets of three vertici (called a triple) from a graph. Graph triples census have been in active use in sociology for over 50 years. The earliest paper using this approach is by Holland and Leinhardt [1]. This gives a general description of the structure of directed graphs in a fixed length vector. Since this time, this analytic tool has been widely used in social network analysis. A summary of important papers using this approach, both as end product and as a component of further analysis, are in [2].

Graph Triples Census is also an important tool in machine learning for capturing information about relational structure of a data set in a form that can be fed to non-relational machine learning algorithms. These approaches are still in their infancy largely because of a lack of effective, time efficient algorithms for describing large scale structure—especially for large networks such as on-line friendship networks and the structure of the Internet with its underlying communities. . All of these graphs have the property that the average number of links per node is small compared to the number of vertices and, likewise, the max degree is small compared to the number of vertices. In many cases, both average and max degree are explicitly limited to a small constant by the structure of the source data. One example of this is the sociological data collected by Harris et al. [3]—widely used in social network analysis. Similar patterns have been identified by the author in LiveJournal and LastFM friendship networks.

Existing algorithms are discussed in related work. This followed by definitions, the algorithm description, proof of correctness, proof of time complexity, and proof of space complexity.

2. Definitions

Throughout this paper, we are concerned with a *graph* $G = (V, E)$ with a finite set V of vertices and a finite set E of *ordered* pairs of distinct vertices

called edges denoted $e(v_i, v_f)$. Vertex w is considered a *neighbor* of vertex v iff $(v, w) \in E$

1. Let v_i denote an arbitrary ordering of vertices in V from 0 to $|V| - 1$
2. Let $N(v_i)$ denote the set of all neighbors of v_i .
3. Let $GN(v_i)$ denote the set of all $v_f \in N(v_i)$ such that $v_f > v_i$
4. Let $G3(G)$ denote a graph of three ordered vertices $i, j, k \in G$ where $i < j < k$ with undirected edges present if $e(v_l, v_m)$ exists $\forall l, m \in i, j, k$.
5. Let $LG3(G)$ denote a graph of three ordered vertices $i, j, k \in G$ where $i < j$ and $k \neq i, j$ with undirected edges present if $e(v_l, v_m)$ exists $\forall l, m \in i, j, k$.
6. Let $A(G)$ be the set of all $G3$ such that $\forall v_i, v_j, v_k \in G$ where $i < f < g$ $G3(v_i, v_j, v_k) \in A$
7. Let $E_n(G)$ be the subset of $A(G)$ such that $\forall G3 \in A$ where there are n edges present.
8. Let $E_{2a}(G)$ be the subset of $A(G)$ such that $\forall G3 \in A$ with 2 edges present and $e(v_i, v_j)$ or $e(v_j, v_i)$ exists.
9. Let $E_{2b}(G)$ be the subset of $A(G)$ such that $\forall G3 \in A$ with 2 edges present and $e(v_i, v_j)$ and $e(v_j, v_i)$ does not exist.
10. Let $addToCensus(e_1, e_2, e_3, x)$ define a procedure that increments the graph triple equivalence class that corresponds to this combination of link types by value x in $O(4)$ time and $O(0)$ space.
11. Let $linkType(v_1, v_2)$ define a procedure that returns one of the four link types (0-4: no link, lesser to greater, greater to lesser, bidirectional) in $O(6)$ time and $O(1)$ space.

3. Algorithm

The algorithm enumerates smaller census entries first, then calculates the remainder of the census entries using set compliments to avoid counting their entries individually.

```

let count = 0
for  $v_i \in V(G)$  // loop 1
 $GN_i, N_i = getLinks(V_i, V_i)$  // link 1
for  $v_j \in GN_i$  // loop 2
count ++
// enumerate  $E_3(G)$ 
 $GN_j, N_j = getLinks(V_j)$  // link 2
for  $v_k \in (GN_i \cap GN_j)$  // loop 3a
 $addToCensus(linkType(v_i, v_j), linkType(v_j, v_k), linkType(v_i, v_k), 1)$ 
rof
// enumerate  $E_2(G)$ 
for  $v_k \in (N_j \cap N_i)$  // loop 3b
 $addToCensus(linkType(v_i, v_j), linkType(v_j, v_k), 0)$ 
rof
 $addToCensus(linkType(v_i, v_j), 0, 0, |V(G)| - |N_i \cup N_j|)$  // link 3

```

rof

rof

$$addToCensus(0, 0, 0, \binom{|V(G)|}{3} - count(|V(G)| - 2) // \text{link 4}$$

4. Proof of Correctness

Theorem 1. *The census of triples of G can be calculated using neighbor properties and set compliments.*

PROOF OF THEOREM. By definition of graph triple census, the census is a count of the size of the set of each equivalence class of $G3 \in G$. Subdivide the set of all $G3$ into the subsets E_n and prove that each member of each subset is counted.

Note 1. the sum of all entries in the graph triple census is $|V(G)|^3/6$

Definition 1. $\forall v_i, v_j \in G$ such that $i < j$ define A_{ij} as the set of all $LG3(G)$ where $i = v_i$ and $j = v_j$

Note 2. $|A| = |V(G) - 2|$

consider E_0

Note 3. $\forall edge \in G, \exists exactly |V(G) - 2| triples \notin E_0$

$\Rightarrow |E_0| = |E(G)|(|V(G)| - 2)$ as in Link 4 , enumerating E_0
consider E_3

Definition 2. The set *Triple* as the set of all i, j such that *Triple* contains the members of all A_{ij} where $k \in GN(v_i) \cap GN(v_j)$

Note 4. *Triple* is equivalent to E_3

Note 5. *Triple* is enumerated by Loop 3a

Definition 3. define the set *Double* as the set of all i, j such that *Double* contains the members of all A_{ij} where $k \in N(v_j)$ and $k \notin N(v_i)$

Note 6. *Double* is enumerated by Loop 3b

Definition 4. The set *Double_a* as the subset of *Double* such that $i < k, j < k$

Note 7. Note that this set enumerates E_{2a}

Definition 5. The set *Double_{b1}* as the subset of *Double* such that $i < k < j$

Definition 6. The set *Double_{b2}* is the subset of *Double* such that $k < i < j$

Note 8. $Double_{b1} \cup Double_{b2} = E_{2b}(G)$ and $Double_{b1} \cap Double_{b2} = \{ \}x1$

⇒ Loop 3b enumerates $E_2(G)$
 Consider $E_1(G)$

Note 9. Given $i, j \in G$ with an edge between them, the size of the subset of A_{ij} with $k > j$ and $\in E_3(G)$ or $\in E_2(G) = N_i \cup N_j$

Note 10. $\forall v_i, v_j \in G$ with an edge between them, there exists $|V(G)| - j$ G3 containing v_i, v_j .

⇒ $\forall i, j \in G$ where $e(i, j)$ exists or $e(j, i)$ exists $\sum_{i=1}^{|E(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} |V(G)| - N_i \cup N_j = |E_1(G)|$
 ⇒ Link 3 enumerates $E_1(G)$
 ⇒ the algorithm enumerates all graph triples of G .

5. Worst-Case Time Complexity Under Assumptions

Theorem 2. *The time complexity is $|V(G)|$ for all G where the assumptions hold.*

PROOF OF THEOREM. Consider the time complexity of each statement as the time-complexity of the operation times the maximum number of times the statement could be executed.

Assumption 1. G has a vertices index with $O(3)$ access time using hashtables

Assumption 2. G has two edge indeci by both source and destination with $O(3)$ access time using hashtables

Assumption 3. $|E(G)| = m|V(G)|$ where $m \in R$ is a small constant.

Assumption 4. $\max(|N_i|) = n$ where $n \in N$ is a small constant.

Note 11. $\forall iGN_i$ is at most $O(3|N_i|)$

Note 12. $\forall iN_i$ is at most $O(3|N_i|)$

Note 13. 3 executes $|V(G)|$ times

⇒ GN_i and N_i are $O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} 3|N_i|)$
 ⇒ GN_i and N_i are $O(3|E(G)|)$
 ⇒ GN_i and N_i are $O(3m|V(G)|)$

Note 14. 3 is executed $|E(G)|$ times which is $\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} |N_i|$

by Lemma 1 GN_j and $N_j = O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_j|)$

Note 15. $\text{addToCensus}(\text{linkType}(v_i, v_j), \text{linkType}(v_j, v_k), \text{linkType}(v_i, v_k), x) = O(9)\forall x \in N$

Note 16. The number of iterations of Loop 3a are $O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_i \cap N_j|)$

by Lemma 2 Loop 3a has $O(mn|V(G)|)$ iterations
 by definition of *addToCensus* and *linkType*, Loop 3a has $O(9mn|V(G)|)$

Note 17. The number of iterations of Loop 3b are $O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_j \text{not} \cap N_i|)$

by Lemma 3, the number of iterations of Loop 3b is $O(9/4m^{3/2}\sqrt{c}|V(G)|)$
 by definition of *addToCensus* and *linkType*, Loop3b is less than $O(9/4mn|V(G)|)$

Note 18. the time complexity of $\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} |N_i \cup N_j|$ is $O(2n|E(G)|) = O(mn|V(G)|)$

\Rightarrow time complexity of the algorithm is $O(3m|V(G)| + 3m|V(G)| + 9mn|V(G)| + 9/4mn|V(G)| + mn|9V(G)| + 9)$

Lemma 1. time complexity to create N_i is $O(m|V(G)|)$

Note 19. $\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} |N_i|$ is maximized, within assumptions of maximum degree and $|E(G)|$, by the graph g where there are x cliques of degree n .

$\Rightarrow x = |E(G)|/n^2$
 $\Rightarrow \forall v_i \in \text{cliques of } g, \text{ time complexity of the clique is } \sum_{i=1}^n 3|N_j| = 3c^2$
 $\Rightarrow \forall v_i \notin \text{cliques of } g, \text{ time complexity is } O(0)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{time complexity to create } |N_j| < O(3xc^2 + 0)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{time complexity to create } |N_j| < O(3|E(G)|)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{time complexity to create } |N_j| < O(3m|V(G)|)$

Lemma 2. $O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_i \cap N_j|) = O(mn|V(G)|)$

Note 20. $\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} |N_i \cap N_j|$ is maximized, within assumptions of maximum degree and $|E(G)|$, by the graph g where there are x cliques of degree n .

$\Rightarrow \forall v_i \in \text{cliques of } g \sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} |N_i \cap N_j| \text{ is } O(3n^2)$
 $\Rightarrow \forall v_i \notin \text{cliques of } g \text{ is } O(0)$

Note 21. $|v_i \in \text{cliques of } g| = xn$

$\Rightarrow O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_i \cap N_j|) = xn(3n^2) = (|E(G)|/n^2)n^3 = mn|V(G)|$

Lemma 3. $O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_j \cap N_i|) = O(9/4mn|V(G)|)$

Note 22. a graph consisting of x subgraphs containing only $E_2(G)$ triples maximizes $O(\sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} \sum_{j=1}^{|N_i|} 3|N_j \cap N_i|)$.

Definition 7. Let H be the set of all subgraphs of G .

find $|E(h)|$
 $\forall h \in H, \exists \binom{V(h)}{3}$ unordered triples

Note 23. $\exists \frac{|V(h)|-2}{2}$ repetitions of an edge in unordered triples

Note 24. $\forall G \in h, \exists 2$ edges

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow |E(h)| = (2 \binom{|V(h)|}{3}) / (\frac{|V(h)|-2}{2}) \\
&\Rightarrow |E(h)| = \frac{2}{3}|V(h)|(|V(h)|-1) \cong \frac{2}{3}|V(h)|^2 \\
&\Rightarrow \text{given max degree } n, \max(\frac{2}{3}|V(h)|^2) = n|V(h)| \\
&\Rightarrow \max(|V(h)|) = \frac{3}{2}n \\
&x = |E(G)|/|E(h)| \\
&\Rightarrow x = m|V(G)|/\frac{2}{3}(\frac{3}{2}n)^2 \\
&\Rightarrow x = m|V(G)|/\frac{3}{2}n^2 \\
&\Rightarrow \max(|E_2(G)|) < x \binom{|V(h)|}{3} \\
&\Rightarrow \max(|E_2(G)|) < \frac{m|V(G)|}{3n^2/2} (\frac{3}{2})^3 n^3 \\
&\Rightarrow \max(|E_2(G)|) < 9/4mn|V(G)|
\end{aligned}$$

6. Worst-Case Space Complexity Under Assumptions

Theorem 3. *Worst case indexing is a small multiple of the total number of edges while the total space complexity excluding indeci is $O(n)$*

PROOF OF THEOREM. **Assumption 5.** maximum size of a hashmap is 8 times the number of entries (see Sun Java 1.6 specifications)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \text{size of vertices hashtable is } O(8|V(G)|) \\
&\Rightarrow \text{size of edge hashtable is } O(16|V(G)| + 16|E(G)|) \\
&\Rightarrow \text{size of all indexing is } O(24|V(G)| + 16m|V(G)|) \\
&\Rightarrow \text{space complexity is } O(24|V(G)| + 16m|V(G)| + 6n)
\end{aligned}$$

7. Conclusion

This algorithm enumerates all triple of a graph in linear time when the graph meets the assumptions of small average number of edges per vertices and small maximum degree.

8. Acknowledgements

This research has been funded by the Waikato Doctoral Scholarship.

References

- [1] P. W. Holland, S. Leinhardt, A method for detecting structure in sociometric data, *American Sociological Journal* 76 (3) (1970) 492–513.
- [2] S. Wasserman, K. Faust, *Social Network Analysis, Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, New York, New York, 1994.
- [3] K. M. Harris, F. Florey, J. Tabor, P. S. Bearman, J. Jones, J. R. Udry, The national longitudinal study of adolescent health: Research design, WWW document, <http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/addhealth/design> (2003).